1	John J. Duffy (SB No. 6224834)	
1	Kevin M. Ringel (SB No. 6308106)	
2	Margaret C. Redshaw (SB No. 6327480)	
3	SWANSON, MARTIN & BELL, LLP 330 N Wabash, Suite 3300	
4	Chicago, Illinois 60611	
	Tel: (312) 321-9100; Fax: (312) 321-0990	
5	jduffy@smbtrials.com kringel@smbtrials.com	
6	mredshaw@smbtrials.com	
7	Marc G. Cowden (SB No. 169391)	
8	Adam Stoddard (SB No. 272691)	
9	SHEUERMAN, MARTINI, TABARI,	
	ZENERE & GARVIN 1033 Willow Street	
10	San Jose, California 95125	
11	Tel: (408) 288-9700; Fax: (408) 295-9900	
12	mcowden@smtlaw.com	
	astoddard@smtlaw.com	
13	Counsel for Defendant Chart Inc.	
14		
15 16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
18		
19		
20	IN RE PACIFIC FERTILITY CENTER LITIGATION	Case No. 3:18-cv-01586-JSC
21		DEFENDANT CHART INC.'S
22		ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL
23		N.D. Cal. Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5
24		Judge: Hon. Jacqueline Scott Corley
25		No Hearing Set Pursuant to L.R. 7-11(c)
26		
27		
28		

12

13

14

1516

17

1819

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

TO THE COURT, THE PARTIES, AND ALL COUNSEL FOR RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Chart Inc. will and does hereby move the Court, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5, for an administrative order to file the following documents or portions thereof under seal:

- Declaration of Kevin M. Ringel in Support of Chart's Notice of Motion and Motion to Exclude Plaintiffs' Expert Dr. Elizabeth Grill;
- Exhibits A to D to the Ringel Declaration in Support of Chart's Motion to Exclude Plaintiffs' Expert Dr. Elizabeth Grill;
- Declaration of Kevin M. Ringel in Support of Chart's Notice of Motion and Motion to Exclude Plaintiffs' Experts Anand Kasbekar and David Wininger;
- Exhibits A to K to the Ringel Declaration in Support of Chart's Motion to Exclude Plaintiffs' Experts Anand Kasbekar and David Wininger;
- Declaration of Kevin M. Ringel in Support of Chart's Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment;
- Exhibits A to F of the Ringel Declaration in Support of Chart's Motion for Summary Judgment.

Defendant files this motion to comply with the Stipulated Protective Order (EFC No. 104) and Civil Local Rule 79-5. Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 79-5 and 7-11(c), no hearing date has been set.

Material to be Filed Under Seal

Paragraph 12.3 of the Stipulated Protective Order prohibits a party from filing in the public record any disclosure or discovery material that is designated as confidential or highly confidential ("Protected Material") without written permission from the Designating Party or a court order secured after appropriate notice to all interested persons. Protected Material includes portions of pleadings that contain, summarize, or reflect the Protected Material. ECF No. 104 at ¶ 12.3.

25

26

27

28

Materials Designated by Plaintiffs and Non-Party Pacific MSO. Plaintiffs and nonparty Pacific MSO have designated the following documents or portions thereof as Confidential or Highly Confidential under the Stipulated Protective Order: the October 9, 2019 Deposition of Joseph Conaghan; the Expert Report of Dr. David Wininger, and certain documents produced in the course of discovery, including the medical records of certain named Plaintiffs. Pursuant to Local Rules 79-5(d)(1) and (2), the Designating Parties are responsible for establishing that all of the designated materials are sealable. Chart takes no position on whether these materials should remain under seal.

Materials Designated by Chart. Additionally, Chart has designated its expert reports as Confidential or Highly Confidential under the Stipulated Protective Order. These reports contain confidential information relating to Chart's business operations, processes, and functions. Courts have routinely recognized the need to protect from disclosure confidential business information like the information sought to be sealed here. See, e.g., Huawei Techs., Co., Ltd. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Ltd., 2017 WL 1508756, at *1 n.1 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 27, 2017) (granting party's administrative motion to file under seal confidential business information, including internal meeting minutes and excerpts from the party's opposition motion); Karl Storz Endoscopy-Am., Inc. v. Stryker Corp., 2014 WL 12700984, at *1 n.1 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 3, 2014) (granting defendant corporation's administrative motion to file under seal document containing sensitive business information).

Moreover, disclosure of such commercially sensitive business information would allow potential competitors to gain insight into how Chart conducts its operations and business relationships such that its business could be significantly and irreparable harmed. In such circumstances, courts have granted a party's request to file such information under seal. See, e.g., In re Elec. Arts, Inc., 298 F. App'x 568, 569 (9th Cir. 2008) (ordering sealing where documents

could be used "'as sources of business information that might harm a litigant's competitive standing") (quoting *Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978)); *Contreras v. Portfolio Recovery Assocs.*, LLC, 2017 WL 2964012, at *6 (N.D. Cal. Jul. 12, 2017) (holding that the release of documents that might harm defendant's competitive business strategy constituted a compelling reason to file such documents under seal). Chart's request to seal the above-identified documents is narrowly tailored to only those potions that merit sealing.

To the extent that Chart's Motion to Exclude Dr. Grill and Motion to Exclude Kasbekar and Wininger reference information or documents designated as Confidential or Highly Confidential or Highly Confidential, those references are also Confidential or Highly Confidential and should accordingly be filed under seal. *See, e.g., Autodesk, Inc. v. Alter*, 2017 WL 1862505, at *8 (N.D. Cal. May 9, 2017) (granting administrative motion to file under seal a confidential licensing agreement between the parties and portions of the defendant's opposition that referenced that agreement); *Finjan, Inc. v. Proofpoint, Inc.*, 2016 WL 7911651, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 6, 2016) (permitting defendant to file portions of brief under seal when those portions referred to documents containing information on the "operation of [d]efendants' products, including technical information an source code" and finding that if such information were disclosed, "there could be a risk that competitors would reproduce or recreate features of [D]efendants' products.").

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(d)(1), the following attachments accompany this motion:

- 1. Ringel Declaration in Support of Chart's Motion to Exclude Dr. Elizabeth Grill;
- Redacted and unredacted versions of Exhibits A-L to the Ringel Declaration in Support of Chart's Motion to Exclude Dr. Grill;

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 2 I hereby certify that on December 22, 2020, I electronically filed the foregoing document 3 using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record 4 registered in the CM/ECF system. 5 6 Dated: December 22, 2020 Respectfully submitted, 7 By: /s/ Kevin Ringel 8 9 John J. Duffy (SB No. 6224834) Kevin M. Ringel (SB No. 6308106) 10 Margaret C. Redshaw (SB No. 6327480) SWANSON, MARTIN & BELL, LLP 11 330 N Wabash, Suite 3300 12 Chicago, Illinois 60611 Tel: (312) 321-9100; Fax: (312) 321-0990 13 jduffy@smbtrials.com kringel@smbtrials.com 14 mredshaw@smbtrials.com 15 Marc G. Cowden (SB No. 169391) 16 Adam Stoddard (SB No. 272691) 17 SHEUERMAN, MARTINI, TABARI, ZENERE & GARVIN 18 1033 Willow Street San Jose, California 95125 19 Tel: (408) 288-9700; Fax: (408) 295-9900 20 mcowden@smtlaw.com astoddard@smtlaw.com 21 Counsel for Defendant Chart, Inc. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28